

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,353	08/31/2001	Satoshi Arakawa	Q66023	8693
75	90 06/17/2003			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			EXAMINER	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3202			HANNAHER, CONSTANTINE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/943,353	ARAKAWA, SATOSHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Constantine Hannaher	2878			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
Period for Reply	LV IS SET TO EVDIDE 2 M	ONITH(S) EROM			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a need within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON take cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
,	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicati					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami		he Evaminer			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted that any objection to					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the p     application from the International     * See the attached detailed Office action for a l	riority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome					
a) The translation of the foreign language					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C	. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)	4) ☐ Intentieu	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Notes	5) Notice of	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/943,353

Art Unit: 2878

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page: 2

2. Claims 1-5 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saotome et al. (US004943724A) in view of Horikawa (US005099119A) and Takashi et al. (US005113078A).

With respect to independent claim 1, Saotome et al. suggests a radiation image recording and read-out method corresponding to the illustrated apparatus (Fig. 8) which would comprise the steps of (i) supporting a stimulable phosphor sheet 202 at a position for image recording at which one surface of the stimulable phosphor sheet 202 is exposed to radiation, (ii) exposing the one surface of the stimulable phosphor sheet 202 to radiation from element 11, (iii) performing an image read-out operation by irradiating stimulating rays 221A to the sheet 202 and photoelectrically detecting (with element 227) the emitted light, and (iv) releasing energy remaining on the sheet 20 by irradiating erasing light with an erasing light source 230 in the recited location. Saotome et al. does not disclose the locating of a filter on the side of the erasing light source 230 which faces the stimulable phosphor sheet 202. The locating of a filter which has good absorbing properties with respect to the radiation is shown to be useful by Horikawa, which teaches (Fig. 1) lead plate 2 between the stimulable phosphor sheet 1 and the erasing light source 30. In view of the advantageous shielding afforded by the presence of lead plate 2 as taught by Horikawa (column 7, lines 45-51), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify

Application/Control Number: 09/943,353

Art Unit: 2878

the method of Saotome et al. to comprise locating a filter which has good absorbing properties with respect to the radiation on the side of the erasing light source 230 which faces the stimulable phosphor sheet 202. Plainly a lead sheet, notwithstanding its utility in protecting the stimulable phosphor sheet 202 in the method of Saotome et al. around wind-up shafts 241 and 242 from stray radiation (in view of the cone of radiation created by element 11), would not transmit the erasing light from source 230 to sheet 202. Takashi et al. teaches that a lead plate may serve as a radiation shield while also being transparent. Accordingly, one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success that a lead plate as suggested by Horikawa could shield the apparatus from radiation while, in view of the suggestion of Takashi et al., still having transmitting properties with respect to the erasing light.

Page: 3

With respect to dependent claim 2, Horikawa teaches that a filter which transmits only light constituted of light components having wavelengths longer than wavelengths of an ultraviolet region is known (column 7, lines 43-45). Since such a filter prevents the storage of ultraviolet energy in the stimulable phosphor sheet, which improves the image recording and read-out, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to ensure that a filter located between the erasing light source 230 and the stimulable phosphor sheet 202 in the method of Saotome et al. in accordance with the suggestions of Horikawa and Takashi et al. had the recited property.

With respect to dependent claims 3/1 and 3/2, Takashi et al. shows that a filter having the recited properties may be made of either recited material (column 2, line 63).

With respect to dependent claims 4/1 and 4/2, the stimulable phosphor sheet 202 in the method of Saotome et al. in the embodiment of Fig. 8 is not kept stationary at the position for image recording, nor is the image read-out means 220 located between the stimulable phosphor sheet and

the erasing light source 230. Nevertheless, the configuration of the apparatus where the stimulable phosphor sheet is kept stationary at the position for image recording is known from Fig. 7A and in view of the reduced overhang past the edge of the stimulable phosphor sheet 102 (column 12, lines 62-68) it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art depending on the intended application and the desired performance to use such a configuration instead. Although the illustrated embodiment of Fig. 7A shows erasing light source 130, one of ordinary skill in the art would have appreciated that the area-wise illumination afforded by erasing light sources 231 had advantages in the amount of erasing light which may be delivered, for example, and it would have been obvious to place such sources below the image read-out means suggested by the embodiment of Fig. 7A.

With respect to dependent claim 5, the image read-out means 120 suggested by the embodiment of Fig. 7A of Saotome *et al.* is of the recited type in view of (a) read out unit 109 and (b) unit moving means 170.

With respect to independent claim 7, Saotome et al. discloses a radiation image recording and read-out apparatus (Fig. 8) comprising (i) an image recording section 210 of the recited type (stimulable phosphor sheet 202 exposed to radiation from element 11), (ii) image read-out means 220 of the recited type (stimulating rays 221A, photoelectric detector 227), and (iii) an erasing light source 230 of the recited type in the recited location. Saotome et al. does not disclose a filter on the side of the erasing light source 230 which faces the stimulable phosphor sheet 202. The presence of a filter which has good absorbing properties with respect to the radiation is shown to be useful by Horikawa, which teaches (Fig. 1) lead plate 2 between the stimulable phosphor sheet 1 and the erasing light source 30. In view of the advantageous shielding afforded by the presence of lead plate 2 as taught by Horikawa (column 7, lines 45-51), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Saotome et al. to comprise a

filter which has good absorbing properties with respect to the radiation on the side of the erasing light source 230 which faces the stimulable phosphor sheet 202. Plainly a lead sheet, notwithstanding its utility in protecting the stimulable phosphor sheet 202 in the apparatus of Saotome et al. around wind-up shafts 241 and 242 from stray radiation (in view of the cone of radiation created by element 11), would not transmit the erasing light from source 230 to sheet 202. Takashi et al. teaches that a lead plate may serve as a radiation shield while also being transparent. Accordingly, one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success that a lead plate as suggested by Horikawa could shield the apparatus from radiation while, in view of the suggestion of Takashi et al., still having transmitting properties with respect to the erasing light.

With respect to dependent claim 8, Horikawa teaches that a filter which transmits only light constituted of light components having wavelengths longer than wavelengths of an ultraviolet region is known (column 7, lines 43-45). Since such a filter prevents the storage of ultraviolet energy in the stimulable phosphor sheet, which improves the image recording and read-out, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to ensure that a filter placed between the erasing light source 230 and the stimulable phosphor sheet 202 in the apparatus of Saotome et al. in accordance with the suggestions of Horikawa and Takashi et al. had the recited property.

With respect to dependent claims 9/7 and 9/8, Takashi et al. shows that a filter having the recited properties may be made of either recited material (column 2, line 63).

With respect to dependent claims 10/7 and 10/8, the stimulable phosphor sheet 202 in the apparatus of Saotome *et al.* in the embodiment of Fig. 8 is not kept stationary at the position for image recording, nor is the image read-out means 220 located between the stimulable phosphor sheet and the erasing light source 230. Nevertheless, the configuration of the apparatus where the

stimulable phosphor sheet is kept stationary at the position for image recording is known from Fig. 7A and in view of the reduced overhang past the edge of the stimulable phosphor sheet 102 (column 12, lines 62-68) it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art depending on the intended application and the desired performance to use such a configuration instead. Although the illustrated embodiment of Fig. 7A shows erasing light source 130, one of ordinary skill in the art would have appreciated that the area-wise illumination afforded by erasing light sources 231 had advantages in the amount of erasing light which may be delivered, for example, and it would have been obvious to place such sources below the image read-out means suggested by the embodiment of Fig. 7A.

With respect to dependent claim 11, the image read-out means 120 suggested by the embodiment of Fig. 7A of Saotome *et al.* is of the recited type in view of (a) read out unit 109 and (b) unit moving means 170.

3. Claim s 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saotome et al. (US004943724A), Horikawa (US005099119A) and Takashi et al. (US005113078A) as applied to claims 5 and 11 above, and further in view of Ohyama et al. (US004767927A).

With respect to dependent claims 6 and 12, the image read-out means 220 in the apparatus of Saotome et al. (even as a unit 120) comprises a line sensor 227 (127) but the stimulating ray source 221 (121) irradiates an area of the stimulable phosphor sheet in a main scanning direction which is linear without being "linear" itself. Ohyama et al. shows that a linear stimulating ray source 30 is known (Ohyama et al. also shows a line sensor 28). In view of the reduction in the number of moving parts, for example, through the use of a "linear" stimulating ray source as suggested by Ohyama et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method suggested by Saotome et al., Horikawa, and Takashi et al. to

Application/Control Number: 09/943,353

Art Unit: 2878

Page: 7

comprise an image read-out unit with a linear stimulating ray source in place of the scanned point source.

## Response to Submission(s)

4. This application has been published as US2002/0024031A1 on February 28, 2002.

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Constantine Hannaher whose telephone number is (703) 308-4850. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday with flexible hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Porta can be reached on (703) 308-4852. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

ch June 11, 2003

Primary Examiner